

APPENDIX 2

**TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)
SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE** (Refer to guidance note for definitions)

Surveyor: Mark Harrison Date: 30/04/10 Species: Poplar
 Location: Karcher (UK) Ltd. Beaumont Road
 TPO Ref (if applicable): _____ Tree/Group No: _____ Owner (if known): Karcher (UK) Ltd.

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO (*Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only*)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5) Good Highly suitable	Notes <i>The tree has no obvious irremediable defects.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Fair Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) Dead Unsuitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) Dying/dangerous* Unsuitable	
Sub Total		5

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO (*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality*)

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) 100+ Highly suitable	Notes <i>Tree has the potential to continue to provide landscape value for 40 yrs +. It is a reasonable distance from the permanent buildings and any branches eventually touching the adjacent building can be addressed without damaging the tree. Roots which are probably from this tree are encroaching on the car park and lifting the concrete surface. (Downgraded to 10-20 yrs because of roots)</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4) 40-100 Very suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) 20-40 Suitable	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) 10-20 Just suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) <10* Unsuitable	
Sub Total		1

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO - *Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use*

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable	Notes <i>Tree is visible from A423 and is likely to increase in size and therefore become more visible.</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Young, small trees, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable	
Sub Total		4

d) Other factors - *Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify*

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Notes
<input type="checkbox"/>	4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features	
Sub Total		1

Part 2: Expediency assessment - *Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify*

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Immediate threat to tree	Notes <i>Conservation area notification for the removal of the tree to allow for car park re surfacing.</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3) Foreseeable threat to tree	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Perceived threat to tree	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Precautionary only	
Sub Total		3

1.1 Part 3: Decision guide

0 - Do not apply TPO 1-6 TPO indefensible 7-10 Does not merit TPO 11-14 TPO defensible 15+ Definitely merits TPO

Total Score **14**

Decision: Warrants TPO

Comments

Highly visible tree, although a life expectancy of 10 - 20 yrs has been allotted, over 40 yrs life expectancy could be achieved if a suitable method of surfacing the adjacent car park can be found.