## **APPENDIX 2**

14

**Decision: Warrants TPO** 

**Total Score** 

## TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO) SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE (Refer to guidance note for definitions)

Surveyor:  Location:  TPO Ref (if a		Mark Harrison	Date:	30/04/10	)	Species:	Poplar			
		Karcher (UK) Ltd. Beaumont Road			roup No:					
		applicable): Tree/Gi					Owner (if known):	Karcher (UK) Ltd.		
D = -4 4										
		nity assessment	O / Palata	a ta aviat	ina santa	ut and is int	anded to annly to say	ara irramadiabla dafaata ar	2(11)	
		-	O (Relates		<i>ng conte.</i> lotes	xt and is int	епаеа то арріу то ѕече	ere irremediable defects or	ııy)	
	,	od Highly suitable				as no obvid	ous irremediable defec	rts.		
	,	Suitable								
		r Unlikely to be sui	table							
	,	id Unsuitable								
	0) Dyli	ng/dangerous* Uns	uitable						Sub Total	5
							are an existing or neal al of other trees of bett	r future nuisance, including er quality)	ן those clearly	′
	5) 100	+ Highly suitable		F .	lotes					
	4) 40-	100 Very suitable						e landscape value for 40 yn ent buildings and any bran		allv
	,	10 Suitable						essed without damaging the		""
	,	20 Just suitable						encroaching on the car pa	ork and lifting t	the
	0) <10	* Unsuitable		C	oncrete s	випасе. (Do	owngraded to 10-20 yr.	s Decause of roots)		T -
									Sub Total	1
c) Rel	lative p	ublic visibility & sui	tability for	<b>TPO</b> - Co	onsider re	alistic pote	ntial for future visibility	with changed land use		
	5) Ver	y large trees with so	ome visibili	ity, or pro	minent la	rge trees H	lighly suitable	Notes		
		ge trees, or medium		•		-		Tree is visible from A423 a increase in size and there		
		lium trees, or large		-	-			visible.	ore become n	nore
	•	_			-		culty Barely suitable			
	•	es not visible to the		-		•				
	1) 110	es not visible to the	public, reg	garaiess	312611	obably ulist	uitable		Sub Total	4
4) O+	or foot	ore Trace must be	ava acerua	nd 7 or me	ara nainte	with no zo	ero score) to qualify		oub rotal	
u) Oii	iei iaci	UIS - TTEES THUST HE	ave acciue	u / UI IIIC	ne points	(WILIT TIO ZE		Natas		
	5) Prin	cipal components	of arboricu	Itural feat	ures, or v	eteran tree	es .	Notes		
	4) Tree	e groups, or membe	ers of grou	ps import	tant for th	eir cohesio	n			
	3) Tre	es with identifiable	historic, co	mmemor	ative or h	nabitat impo	ortance			
	2) Tree	es of particularly go	od form, e	specially	if rare or	unusual				
Х	1) Tre	es with none of the	above add	ditional re	deeming	features				
									Sub Total	1
Part 2	2: Expe	diency assessment	t - Trees m	nust have	accrued	9 or more p	oints to qualify			
	5) Imn	nediate threat to tre	е					Notes		
X	3) Foreseeable threat to tree							Conservation area notification for the removal of the tree to allow for car park re		
	2) Per	ceived threat to tree	е					surfacing.	w for car park	10
	1) Pre	cautionary only						-		
		- ·								
									Sub Total	3
1.1	Part	3: Decision guide								
			O indefens	sible 7	-10 Does	not merit T	PO 11-14 TPO defe	nsible 15+ Definitely me	rits TPO	

(1)

Comments
Highly visible tree, although a life expectancy of 10 - 20 yrs has been allotted, over 40 yrs life expectancy could be achieved if a suitable method of surfacing the adjacent car park can be found.